

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/052,973	10/29/2001	James H. Bennett	7190-D20	3960	
7	590 07/13/2004		EXAM	INER	
BASF CORP	ORATION	DICUS, TAMRA			
PATENT DEP 1609 BIDDLE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WYANDOTTI	E, MI 48192		1774 DATE MAILED: 07/13/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	-				
	10/052,97	'3	JAMES H. BENNET	T ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
	Tamra L. I		1774					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by s Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the n earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no even. a reply within the state eriod will apply and witatute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be ti utory minimum of thirty (30) da Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron ication to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this com ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.				
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	21 April 2004.							
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)□	This action is n	on-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 34-44 and 46-53 is/are pending ir 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 34-44 and 46-53 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	ndrawn from co	nsideration.						
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Exar			Francisco					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have bee nents have bee priority documo ureau (PCT Rul	n received. n received in Applica ents have been receiv e 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National S	itage				
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summar						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail II 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:		152)				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office								

DETAILED ACTION

The previous rejections are withdrawn due to Applicant's amendments. Cancellation of claims 1-33 and 45 are acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claim 34-36, 38-44, and 47-53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by USPN 3,040,210 to Charlton et al.

Charlton teaches a decorative surface covering and the process of making the covering. Pieces or chips (equivalent to particles) of a linoleum mixture (11) are in multi-colors or contrasting colors and extruded into a sheet (31). The mixture 11 comprises resinous binders, pigments and fillers such as whiting clay (a colorant mixture). See col. 2, line 42-col. 3, line 45, col. 3, line 55-70, and the Figure. Various colors are provided such as black and white pigments that are mixed together as well as many other colors to form pieces, chips, or granules at col. 3, lines 55-75, thereby producing the thermoplastic resin system including a color and colorant mixture as per instant claims. The color mix produces a visual effect such as the color of granite as explained above. See col. 2, lines 4-10. The color sheet (31) is pressed directly on and compressed into an additional linoleum sheet (37) by pressure rolls (35) and (36). Sheet 31 bonds to sheet 37 by pressure (instant claim 43). The same thermoplastic/elastomeric

vinyl chloride polymers of instant claims 39-42, 47-50, and 52-53 are also provided at col. 3, lines 25-34 as suitable resinous binders for linoleum.

Applicant's arguments have indicated that "consisting essentially of" would exclude an adhesive, that would materially affect applicant's invention. Since no adhesive is present in the Charlton reference, the claims are again construed as open or that of "comprising" language.

That the thermoplastic layer is extruded is not afforded patentable weight as such limitation is process derived. Product-by-process claims are not limited to the manipulations of the recited steps, only the structure implied by the steps. Patentability of an article depends on the article itself and not the method used to produce it (see MPEP 2113). Furthermore, the invention defined by a product-by-process invention is a product NOT a process. In re Bridgeford, 357 F. 2d 679. It is the patentability of the product claimed and NOT of the recited process steps which must be established. In re Brown, 459 F. 29 531. Both Applicant's and prior art reference's product are the same.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all 3. obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 37 and 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 4. USPN 3,040,210 to Charlton et al. in view of USPN 5,358,993 to Timm et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/052,973

Art Unit: 1774

5. Charlton essentially teaches the claimed invention above. Charlton does not expressly disclose a US Mesh size from –10 to about +5000 as instant claims 37 and 46. However, Timm teaches an inlaid granite plastic floor tile of polyvinyl chloride as the support with a chip formula within the size of 10 to 200 and –14 to +25 US mesh size, which falls within Applicant's range of –10 to about +5000 (col. 2, lines 35-65). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the covering of Charlton to include chip sizes between –10 and +5000 because Timm teaches the conventionality of using these specific sizes for inlaid tiles (col. 2, lines 35-65).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. USPN 6221462 to Graab et al. teaches a multicolored patterned layer on a thermoplastic sheet. USPN 4863782 to Wang et al. teaches inlaid patterns for producing a 3-D effect on a thermoplastic sheet. USPN 4,956,030 to Baskin teaches a simulated stone over a plastic foam sheet.
- 7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tamra L. Dicus whose telephone number is 571-272-1519. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:00-4:30 p.m., alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena Dye can be reached on 571-272-3186. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tamra L. Dicus Examiner Art Unit 1774

July 8, 2004

RENA DYE RIMARY EXAMINER

1.0.1774